


# AIR

## Pollution VII

C.A. Brebbia  
M. Jacobson  
H. Power  
Editors



 WIT<sup>TM</sup>PRESS

## PREFACE

This book contains papers submitted for the Seventh International Air Pollution Conference, held at Stanford University, Stanford California, in August 1999. The conference was organized by Wessex Institute of Technology, Southampton, UK in cooperation with Stanford University.

Urban air pollution has become a major environmental problem in many parts of the world. In many regions air quality has deteriorated to such an extent that it has become a serious public health problem. In Los Angeles, California in 1947, the first air pollution standard was established. Since then, the standard of living arising from such pollution has become a major concern of governments and the public.

Nevertheless, in many regions, air pollution remains a major environmental problem which was once considered a minor one. The process with our battle against air pollution through technological innovation and development can be met only if the extent to which air pollution spreads and the extent to which pollution spreads is known.

### EDITORS:

**C.A. Brebbia**

*Wessex Institute of Technology, UK*

**M. Jacobson**

*Stanford University, USA*

**H. Power**

*Wessex Institute of Technology, UK*

The information from this conference will be published in this book. It is hoped that the information from this conference will be published in this book. It is hoped that the information from this conference will be published in this book. It is hoped that the information from this conference will be published in this book.

Copyright for the following papers is retained by the Wessex Institute of Technology. All other papers are published by permission of the publishers. The Wessex Institute of Technology is a registered charity. The Wessex Institute of Technology is a registered charity. The Wessex Institute of Technology is a registered charity.

The Editors

Stanford University

**WITPRESS** Southampton, Boston



All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the Publisher.

**C.A. Brebbia**  
Wessex Institute of Technology

**M. Jacobson**  
Stanford University

Published by

**WIT Press**

Ashurst Lodge, Ashurst, Southampton, SO40 7AA, UK  
Tel: 44(0) 23 80 293223; Fax: 44(0) 23 80 292853  
E-Mail: [witpress@witpress.com](mailto:witpress@witpress.com)  
<http://www.witpress.com>

For USA, Canada and Mexico

**Computational Mechanics Inc**

25 Bridge Street, Billerica, MA 01821, USA  
Tel: 978 667 5841; Fax: 978 667 7582  
E-Mail: [cmina@ix.netcom.com](mailto:cmina@ix.netcom.com)

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

A Catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

ISBN 1 85312 693 4 WIT Press  
ISSN 1369-5886

*The texts of the papers in this volume were set individually  
by the authors or under their supervision. Only minor corrections to the text  
may have been carried out by the publisher.*

No responsibility is assumed by the Publisher, the Editors and Authors for any injury and/or damage to persons or property as a matter of products liability, negligence or otherwise, or from any use or operation of any methods, products, instructions or ideas contained in the material herein.

© WIT Press 1999

Copyright for the following pages is retained by:

© US Government, Cederwell et al, pp. 49-58. The US Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or allow others to do so for US Government purposes.

© USAEE/IAEE, Rao, pp. 663-676. This first appeared in Proceedings of 19th Annual Conference of USAEE/IAEE, October 1998 in an earlier version.

© Battelle Institute and US Government, J. Fast, pp. 823-832. Battelle Institute and US Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or allow others to do so for US Government purposes.

Printed in Great Britain by The MFK Group.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the Publisher.

This book contains the proceedings of an international conference, held at the Wessex Institute of Technology, Southampton, UK, in 1999. The conference was organized by C.A. Brebbia and M. Jacobson.

Urban air pollution is a global problem, in spite of the fact that air quality in many regions is improving. Air pollution is also a major cause of global climate change. Although air pollution has increased tremendously since the 1960s, particularly in Los Angeles, California, air quality has been limited by the lack of a global standard of living.

Nevertheless, the world has proceeded with our business as usual through technological advances. The goals can be met only if we have a global standard of living. The extent to which air pollution is a global problem is the extent to which pollution is a global problem. The conference was organized by researchers and the editors hoped that information on air pollution issues and air quality would be available.

Papers in the proceedings are presented at meso scales; pollution transport emissions problems; indoor pollution and field studies; climate change; particles; air pollution and health.

The Editors of the International Series of Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, the conference and the company, and the National Science Foundation.

*The Editors*  
Stanford University

1045

1055

1065

1073

1083

## Section One:

# Turbulence Modelling at Small and Meso Scales

## Calibration of the dispersion code SAFE\_AIR using a release in nocturnal low wind conditions

E. Canepa<sup>1</sup>, C.F. Ratto<sup>1</sup> & P. Zannetti<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>National Institute for the Physics of Matter, Department of Physics,  
University of Genova, Via Dodecaneso 33, I-16146 Genova, Italy  
Email: canepa@fisica.unige.it, ratto@fisica.unige.it

<sup>2</sup>Exponent, Inc., 149 Commonwealth Drive, P.O. Box 3013, Menlo Park,  
CA 94025, USA

Email: zannetti@exponent.com

### Abstract

The SAFE\_AIR code simulates the transport and diffusion of airborne pollutants. This dispersion code is based on the advection of Gaussian plumes and puff driven by a 3D diagnostic wind model, able to deal with both non-stationary and inhomogeneous conditions. SAFE\_AIR is an evolution of the AVACTA II code, a code "recommended" by the U.S. EPA. In this work, we applied SAFE\_AIR to a tracer project designed to collect diffusion data in the region of Ilo, Peru, a complex coastal area where large SO<sub>2</sub> emissions from a copper smelter plant affect the local air quality. The field project is based on a large set of meteorological and tracer (SF<sub>6</sub>) field data collected in the area of interest under a variety of meteorological conditions and during different times of the day. Among the tracer experiments performed we simulated a nocturnal low wind condition stack release. This release provided the best example of plume movement in the area and also contained the highest SF<sub>6</sub> concentration measured during the entire field project. The strong time variability and inhomogeneity of the experimental conditions presented a challenge to the code. The issues we considered in this exercise concern the ability of the model to predict the temporal evolution of the tracer dispersion pattern. To do this, the model was calibrated against the tracer release data. To do this, the model was calibrated against the tracer release data. To do this, the model was calibrated against the tracer release data.

## Calibration of the dispersion code SAFE\_AIR using a release in nocturnal low wind conditions

E. Canepa<sup>1</sup>, C.F. Ratto<sup>1</sup> & P. Zannetti<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*National Institute for the Physics of Matter, Department of Physics, University of Genova, Via Dodecaneso 33, I-16146 Genova, Italy*

*Email: canepae@fisica.unige.it, ratto@fisica.unige.it*

<sup>2</sup>*Exponent, Inc., 149 Commonwealth Drive, P.O. Box 3015, Menlo Park, CA 94025, USA*

*Email: zannetti@exponent.com*

### Abstract

The SAFE\_AIR code simulates the transport and diffusion of airborne pollutants. This dispersion code is based on the advection of Gaussian segments and puffs driven by a 3D diagnostic wind model, able to deal with both non-stationary and inhomogeneous conditions. SAFE\_AIR is an evolution of the AVACTA II code, a code "recommended" by the U.S. EPA. In this work, we applied SAFE\_AIR to a tracer project designed to collect diffusion data in the region of Ilo, Peru, a complex coastal area where large SO<sub>2</sub> emissions from a copper smelter plant affect the local air quality. The field project is based on a large set of meteorological and tracer (SF<sub>6</sub>) field data collected in the area of interest under a variety of meteorological conditions and during different times of the day. Among the tracer experiments performed we simulated a nocturnal low wind conditions stack release. This release provided the best example of plume movement in the area and also contained the highest SF<sub>6</sub> concentration measured during the entire field project. The strong time variability and inhomogeneity of the experimental conditions presented a challenge to the code. The issues we considered in this exercise concern the ability of the model to predict the temporal evolution of the tracer gas dispersion pattern. To do this, special attention was directed towards a detailed description of the nocturnal light wind field during the tracer release and the selection of the code wind speed value to discriminate between transport and calm conditions.

## 1 Introduction

In this work, we applied the SAFE\_AIR code (Simulation of Air pollution From Emissions \_ Above Inhomogeneous Regions) (Canepa and Ratto [1]; Canepa et al. [2, 3]; Canepa [4]) in the region of Ilo, Peru (the same as Jackson and Zannetti [5] and Canepa et al. [6]), where large SO<sub>2</sub> emissions from a copper smelter plant affect the local air quality.

SAFE\_AIR is included in the Model Database of the European Topic Centre on Air Quality (<http://aix.meng.auth.gr/lhtee/database.html>). A commercial version of SAFE\_AIR is distributed by FiatLux Publications, Fremont, California, USA, <http://www.envirocomp.org/html/news/safe-air.htm>. The SAFE\_AIR code is an evolution of the AVACTA II code (AeroVironment Air pollution model for Complex Terrain Applications, Zannetti [7]), "recommended" by the U.S. EPA and the Italian Ministry of Health (Bassanino et al. [8]).

The tracer project (Wilkerson et al. [9]) is based on a large set of meteorological, SO<sub>2</sub>, and tracer (SF<sub>6</sub>) field data collected in the area of interest. It was designed to collect transport and dispersion data to simulate stack and fugitive SO<sub>2</sub> emissions from the Ilo copper smelter under a variety of meteorological conditions and at different times of the day. Among the tracer experiments performed we simulated a nocturnal low wind conditions stack release. This release provided the best example of plume movement in the area and contained the highest SF<sub>6</sub> concentration measured during the entire field project.

The strong time variability and inhomogeneity of the experimental conditions presented a challenge to the code. The issues we considered in this exercise concerned the ability of the model to predict the temporal evolution of the tracer gas dispersion pattern. To do this, special attention was directed towards a detailed description of the nocturnal light wind field during the tracer release and the selection of the code wind speed value to discriminate between transport and calm conditions.

## 2 The SAFE\_AIR code

SAFE\_AIR is extremely versatile in the sense that the user may select the level of complexity and detail. Hence, the computational effort may be easily adapted to this type of application. SAFE\_AIR consists mainly of two parts: a meteorological pre-processor (WINDS, Wind-field Interpolation by Non-Divergent Schemes) and a pollutant diffusion simulator (P6, Program Plotting Paths of Pollutant Puffs and Plumes).

The meteorological pre-processor WINDS (Ratto et al. [10]; Ruaro et al. [11]; Ratto [12]) computes the wind field necessary for the subsequent description of the transport of the pollutant plume above complex orography. WINDS is a mass-consistent model (Ratto et al. [13]) developed at the Department of Physics of the University of Genoa, Italy, in collaboration with Prof. D.P. Lalas [14]. WINDS can use different initialization possibilities:

ground station  
etc.), profiles of  
Area Models, M

P6 is a mod  
[4] from part c  
dynamic multisc  
is broken into  
dynamics are d  
meteorological  
simplicity of a  
simulation of t  
provide a nume  
source during t  
both far from t  
horizontal trans  
= 1 m/s), this t  
represents mor  
however, a lar  
direction fluct  
situations.

## 3 The simul

The source is l  
desert complex  
the climate. TH  
elevations reach  
several kilomete

The issues v  
to predict the te  
eighteen tracer  
ground-level tr  
purposes (Stack  
P6 is mainly c  
sources; we ch  
release in noct  
movement in  
measured durin  
began at 0200 h  
of time of this  
0200 hours and

ground station data and/or geostrophic wind, observed vertical profiles (sodar, etc.), profiles coming from larger scale meteorological models (e.g., Limited Area Models, Mazzino et al. [15]), etc.

P6 is a model derived (Canepa and Ratto [1]; Canepa et al. [2, 3]; Canepa [4]) from part of the AVACTA II code simulating pollutant dispersion. P6 is a dynamic multisource model based on the Gaussian formula in which the plume is broken into independent elements (either segments or puffs). Pollutant dynamics are described by the evolution of plume elements according to local meteorological conditions. This method offers the advantage of maintaining the simplicity of a Gaussian formula, while allowing a more accurate numerical simulation of both non-stationary and inhomogeneous conditions. Segments provide a numerically fast simulation of dispersion of air pollutants near their source during transport conditions. Puffs allow a proper simulation of diffusion, both far from the source and during calm or low-wind situations. If the local horizontal transport term is less than a critical value,  $u_{\min}$  (the code default is  $u_{\min} = 1$  m/s), this term is forced to zero, since it is assumed that such a small term represents more local intermittent effects than actual transport. In this case, however, a large horizontal diffusion may be produced by the large wind direction fluctuations typically encountered during these low-wind speed situations.

### 3 The simulated tracer release

The source is located approximately 16 km north of Ilo (Peru): this region is a desert complex coastal area (Figure 1) in which a persistent marine layer dominates the climate. There is elevated terrain within 1 km to the east of the source with elevations reaching 1,300 m within 6 km of the source and the coastal plain widens several kilometers south of the source toward the town of Ilo.

The issues we considered in this exercise concerned the ability of the model to predict the temporal evolution of the tracer gas dispersion pattern. Among the eighteen tracer experiments performed, eleven stack (110 m in height) and seven ground-level tracer releases, we selected the most representative one for our purposes (Stack Release 5) and simulated it. We chose a stack release because P6 is mainly designed for simulating air quality impact from elevated point sources; we chose Stack Release 5 because the dispersion pattern of this tracer release in nocturnal low-wind conditions provided the best example of plume movement in the area and also contained the highest SF6 concentration measured during the entire field project. The study period for Stack Release 5 began at 0200 hours and concluded at 1900 hours on March 15, 1996; the length of time of this experiment was 17 hours. The tracer release period began at 0200 hours and ended four hours later at 0600 hour.




Figure 1: The orography (as obtained using the graphical program SURFER<sup>®</sup> Golden Software): • = smelter; ▲ = meteorological stations; 1, 2, ..., 28 = receptors. All the units are in m.

Wind field data were measured at the ground stations of Golf Club, Town Site, and Ross Siding situated at 10-m agl (above-ground level) (Figure 1). At Golf Club, northerly winds persisted through the release period until 1100 hours, and speeds were less than 3 m/s at the time of release, then gradually increased to 1100 hours. After 1100 hours, the winds turned southerly with speeds increasing to over 6 m/s. At Town Site, wind directions were variable throughout the study period. During the release, winds were southerly and less than 3 m/s. After the release and until 0900 hours, the wind turned northerly with speeds remaining under 6 m/s. From 0900 hours until the end of the study, winds turned southerly again with speeds increasing to over 4 m/s. Ross Siding had southerly winds throughout the entire study period. Speeds averaged between 3 and 7 m/s.

At the beginning of the experiment, there was a two-hour period before the SF<sub>6</sub> was measured at any of the sampling sites. By hour three, light SF<sub>6</sub> concentrations were noted just south of the smelter south-east to inland sampling sites to the east of Pueblo Nuevo. By hours four and five, a discrete pulse of

SF<sub>6</sub> was evident just south of the smelter. The highest concentrations were highest inland. By sunrise, the SF<sub>6</sub> concentrations were just beginning to decrease. At 0800 hours, southerly winds as strong as 12 to 17 m/s were measured. At 1200 hours, southerly daytime flow


#### 4 The performance

We reconstructed the wind field using the WINDS code; the P6 code. We selected the wind direction, along with the vertical direction, 10-m agl (P6 code).

We performed the experiment with a half hour increment. The wind field was measured at all the stations. The Ross Siding (Figure 1) data measured at the station were used to construct the wind field. The wind field at 0600 hours. We also measured the wind field during the release period from 1900 hours. We used the Club station is the most stable. The stability class was calculated using the method at 10-m agl and inside the release period to 0700 hours (nocturnal) and 1700 hours (diurnal) and 1900 hours (nocturnal). The vertical resolution is 0.0002 m for the sea level. The Club Site, Miraflores, and

The P6 code simulates the wind field at the receptors used in the experiment. The values range from 0 to 10 m (diurnal conditions) and 0 to 10 m (nocturnal conditions) options allowed by the code [17] and the plume model furnished the best results. The model is regarded to emission of





program SURFER®  
cal stations; 1, 2, ...,

of Golf Club, Town  
level) (Figure 1). At  
period until 1100 hours,  
n gradually increased  
n gradually increased  
southerly with speeds  
ctions were variable  
ere southerly and less  
wind turned northerly  
ll the end of the study,  
er 4 m/s. Ross Siding  
od. Speeds averaged

hour period before the  
hour three, light SF6  
east to inland sampling  
five, a discrete pulse of

SF6 was evident just south of the smelter. Hours six to eight showed continued movement of a concentrated area of SF6 south from the smelter. Concentrations were highest inland as the SF6 moved along the foothills to the south-south-east. By sunrise, the SF6 had made it well into the Ilo Valley and concentrations were just beginning to diminish. Hours nine through eleven showed the influence of southerly winds as the plume quickly diluted and moved northward. By hours twelve to seventeen, the SF6 gas exited the area under the influence of the southerly daytime flow.

#### 4 The performed simulations

We reconstructed the wind field above the orographically complex area using the WINDS code; then the concentrations at the ground were simulated using the P6 code. We selected an air space measuring  $32 \times 40 \times 3 \text{ km}^3$  around the source using, along the horizontal directions,  $80 \times 100$  grid points and, along the vertical direction, 19 conformal levels (WINDS code) or 100 Cartesian levels (P6 code).

We performed two wind field simulation sets. Each set was composed of 34 half hour increments of average wind fields to simulate the entire 17-hour experiment with sufficient detail. In the first set (Set 1), we used the data measured at all the ground stations (10-m agl) of Golf Club, Town Site, and Ross Siding (Figure 1) to construct the wind field. In Set 2, we used the wind data measured at the Golf Club station - the nearest station to the smelter - to construct the wind field during the 4-hour release period, from 0200 hours until 0600 hours. We also used all the wind stations, as in Set 1, to construct the wind field during the remaining 13-hour simulation period, from 0600 hours until 1900 hours. We used this approach for Set 2 because we believe that the Golf Club station is the most representative for emissions during low wind conditions. The stability class (assumed one and the same for the whole domain) was calculated using the Pasquill method [16] based on both the intensity of wind speed at 10-m agl and insolation. Stability turned out to be F in the lower layers by 0200 to 0700 hours (nocturnal light wind conditions); it moved from B to D by 0700 to 1700 hours (diurnal conditions with increasing wind speed); it was D by 1700 to 1900 hours (nocturnal strong wind conditions). We used a roughness length of 0.0002 m for the sea and 0.005 m for the land (except close to the Refinery, Town Site, Miraflores, and Ross Siding stations where we used 0.05 m).

The P6 code simulated the hourly tracer measured concentrations at the 28 receptors used in the experiment (Figure 1). The tracer emission rate was 17.64 g/s. The P6 code used as input the mixing height calculated by the WINDS code. The values ranged from about 50 m (nocturnal conditions) to about 2900 m (diurnal conditions, well-developed mixed layer). Among the different options allowed by P6, we simulated the plume rise using the Moore method [17] and the plume diffusion using the Brookhaven  $\sigma$ -function [18] because both furnished the best results in previous P6 model testing (e.g., Canepa [4]) with regard to emission conditions similar to the present ones. In order to calibrate

the P6 code about the wind speed value to discriminate between transport and calm dispersion conditions,  $u_{\min}$ , we performed three simulations using different values ( $u_{\min}$  equal to 0.5, or 1.0, or 1.5 m/s) for each wind field set, that is to say we performed six simulations in all.

The direct comparison of the results of these simulations with the measured concentrations allowed us to select the most appropriate assumptions with respect both the wind field initialization and the  $u_{\min}$  value. To select those assumptions we took into account the SAFE\_AIR accuracy with respect to the simulation of: 1) the beginning of the tracer survey by the receptors; 2) the maximum of the tracer concentrations; 3) the channeling of the tracer in the Ilo valley; and 4) the tracer going out from the simulation area. As an example, see Table 1. Taking into account all the previous items, we can assert: a) the dispersion simulations performed using the wind field Set 2 gave better results than those performed using Set 1; b) among the dispersion simulations performed using the wind field Set 2, the assumption  $u_{\min} = 1.5$  gave the best results.

For sake of brevity, we will limit our considerations to the best performance of the code. On the whole, the code described well both the temporal and spatial behavior of the pollutant pattern with respect to the information provided by the receptors (e.g., Figure 2). In more detail, the code: 1) correctly predicted the two-hour period before the SF6 was noted at any of the sampling sites, but predicted the first concentrations different from zero by hour four instead of by hour three; 2) exactly located the receptor at which the maximum concentration occurred, but underestimated the measured concentration, 18.8 against 32.2  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ , and predicted the maximum two hours early; 3) correctly predicted the channeling of the tracer in the Ilo valley by hours seven and eight, but again underestimated the tracer concentrations (e.g., 5.8 against 18.5  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  at Receptor 11 by hour seven); 4) depicted both the influence by hours nine to eleven of the southerly winds which diluted the plume and moved it northward and the gas efflux from the study volume, even if two hours early, under the influence of the southerly daytime flow.

Table 1: The maximum of the tracer concentrations: measured versus simulated values.

	value ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	Receptor (num)	time (hour)
measurements	32.2	18	0800
set 1, $u_{\min} = 0.5$	7.8	20	0800
set 1, $u_{\min} = 1.0$	10.9	21	0800
set 1, $u_{\min} = 1.5$	14.8	22	0800
set 2, $u_{\min} = 0.5$	18.4	6	0800
set 2, $u_{\min} = 1.0$	17.0	9	0700
set 2, $u_{\min} = 1.5$	18.8	18	0600




Figure 2: The pollutant concentration distribution in the Ilo valley, as simulated by the program SUF6. The vertical axis is in m, the horizontal axes are in degrees.

## 5 Conclusions

We presented a calibration of the code for the region of Ilo, Peru, a copper smelter plant affected by air pollution.

Among the tracer experiments, we studied the conditions stack release during the experiment period.

To simulate this experiment, we used a description of the nocturnal wind field and the selection of the code with respect to the calm conditions.

On the whole, the code described well the behavior of the pollutant pattern with respect to the receptors. In particular, we used the average wind fields obtained from the measurements.

As a summary, this work shows that: i) the wind field construction is a complex task; ii) both the temporal and spatial behavior of the pollutant pattern have to be carefully analyzed.

en transport and  
s using different  
set, that is to say

th the measured  
assumptions with  
To select those  
th respect to the  
receptors; 2) the  
tracer in the Ilo  
an example, see  
an assert: a) the  
ave better results  
sion simulations  
.5 gave the best

best performance  
temporal and spatial  
n provided by the  
ctly predicted the  
mpling sites, but  
our instead of by  
um concentration  
8.8 against 32.2  
ctly predicted the  
eight, but again  
t 18.5  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  at  
y hours nine to  
oved it northward  
s early, under the

ed versus

time (hour)
0800
0800
0800
0800
0800
0700
0600





Figure 2: The pollutant channeling beginning (as obtained using the graphical program SURFER® Golden Software). The distances are expressed in m, the concentrations in  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ .

### 5 Conclusions

We presented a calibration of the SAFE\_AIR code against tracer (SF6) data in the region of Ilo, Peru, a complex coastal area where large SO2 emissions from a copper smelter plant affect the local air quality.

Among the tracer experiments performed we simulated a nocturnal low wind conditions stack release. The lack of stationary and homogeneous conditions during the experiment presented a challenge to the code.

To simulate this experiment, special attention was directed towards a detailed description of the nocturnal light wind field during the tracer release and the selection of the code wind speed value to discriminate between transport and calm conditions.

On the whole, the code described well both the temporal and the spatial behavior of the pollutant pattern with respect to the information provided by the receptors. In particular, we obtained better results than those already found by us (Canepa et al. [6]) using a less detailed wind field description (17 one-hour average wind fields obtained used the data measured at all the ground stations).

As a summary, this exercise allowed us to outline two main issues: i) the wind field construction is an essential part of the dispersion simulation process; ii) both the temporal and spatial representativeness of the wind measurements have to be carefully analyzed.

## Acknowledgements

We want to thank Jim Jackson and Dario Oviedo of Southern Peru Limited, who provided the data used in this study. Appreciation is extended to Nicola Arena and Cristina Battaglia for many helpful suggestions about the use of the graphical program SURFER® Golden Software.

## References

- [1] Canepa, E., & Ratto, C.F., A model evaluation of a new version of AVACTA II using field and laboratory data on flat and complex terrain, *Environmental Modeling*, Computational Mechanics Publications, 3, pp. 55-108, Southampton, UK (P. Zannetti, editor), 1996.
- [2] Canepa, E., Georgieva, E., Mazzino, A., & Ratto, C.F., Comparison between the results of a new version of the AVACTA II atmospheric diffusion model and tracer experiments, *Il Nuovo Cimento*, 20(C), pp. 461-473, 1997.
- [3] Canepa, E., Modesti, F., & Ratto, C.F., About the present version of the dispersion code SAFE\_AIR, *J. Wind Eng. Ind. Aerodyn.*, 74-76, pp. 305-314, 1998.
- [4] Canepa, E., *The SAFE\_AIR model: birth, evaluation and calibration exercises*, Ph.D. Thesis in Geophysics, University of Genova, Genova (Italy), December 1998.
- [5] Jackson, J., & Zannetti, P., Design and implementation of a supplemental control program for SO<sub>2</sub> episodes in the region of Ilo, Peru, *Air Pollution V*, Bologna, Italy, 16-18 September 1997; pp. 307-323, Computational Mechanics Publications, Southampton, UK, 1997.
- [6] Canepa, E., Ratto, C.F., & Zannetti, P., Calibration of the dispersion code SAFE\_AIR against measurements in a complex coastal area. *Air Pollution VI*, Genova, Italy, 28-30 September 1998; pp. 817-827, Computational Mechanics Publications, Southampton, UK, 1998.
- [7] Zannetti, P., A new mixed segment-puff approach for dispersion modeling, *Atmos. Environ.* 20, pp. 1121-1130, 1986.
- [8] Bassanino, M., Bertolaccini, M.A., Brusasca, G., Cirillo, M.C., Finzi, G., Fortezza, F., Graziani, G., Mamolini, G., Marani, A., Tamponi, M., & Tirabassi, T., *Modelli ad integrazione delle reti per la gestione della qualità dell'aria*, Technical Report ISTISAN 93/36 (ISSN 0391-1675), 1993.
- [9] Wilkerson, D.R., Risch, L.E., Conger, & Hauze, W.J., *Atmospheric tracer experiments conducted for Southern Peru Limited February-April, 1996, Final Report*. Project No. TRC NAWC 19344-0100, Report No. AQ 96-12, August 28, 1996, Salt Lake City, Utah, 1996.
- [10] Ratto, C.F., Festa, R., Nicora, O., Mosiello, R., Ricci, A., Lalas, D.P., & Frumento, O.A., Wind field numerical simulations: a new user-friendly

- code, in: *Proc*  
(Spain), 10-14
- [11] Ruaro, R., Ra  
consistent mo  
*Conference '9*  
1994, pp. 212-
  - [12] Ratto, C.F., Th  
*Flow Fields, V*  
(D.P. Lalas and
  - [13] Ratto, C.F., Fr  
consistent mo  
*Environ. Softw*
  - [14] Lalas D.P., W  
*Solar Energy,*
  - [15] Mazzino, A., I  
into large sca  
(Book of Abs  
210 (J.L. Tsip
  - [16] Pasquill, F. A  
of John Wiley
  - [17] Moore, D.J.,  
with theoretic
  - [18] Strom, G.H., T  
*Vol. I (A.C. St*

- code, in: *Proc. European Community Wind Energy Conference*, Madrid (Spain), 10-14 September 1990, pp. 130-134, (W. Palz, editor), 1990.
- [11] Ruaro, R., Ratto, C.F., & Conte, A., Systematic comparison of a mass-consistent model with wind tunnel data, *Proc. European Wind Energy Conference '94*, (Book of Abstract), Thessaloniki (Greece), 10-14 October 1994, pp. 212-214 (J.L. Tsipouridis, editor), 1994.
- [12] Ratto, C.F., The AIOLOS and WINDS codes, in: *Modeling of Atmospheric Flow Fields*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Ltd., pp. 421-431, Singapore (D.P. Lalas and C.F. Ratto, editors), 1996.
- [13] Ratto, C.F., Festa, R., Romeo, C., Frumento, O.A., & Galluzzi, M., Mass consistent models for wind fields over complex terrain: the state of the art, *Environ. Software*, **9**, pp. 247-268, 1994.
- [14] Lalas D.P., Wind energy estimation and siting in complex terrain, *Int. J. Solar Energy*, **3**, pp. 43-71, 1985.
- [15] Mazzino, A., Ratto, C.F., & Benzi, R., Nesting of a mass-consistent model into large scale models, *Proc. European Wind Energy Conference '94*, (Book of Abstract), Thessaloniki (Greece), 10-14 October 1994, pp. 209-210 (J.L. Tsipouridis, editor), 1994.
- [16] Pasquill, F. *Atmospheric Diffusion*, 2nd Edition, New York, Halsted Press of John Wiley & Sons, 1974.
- [17] Moore, D.J., A comparison of the trajectories of rising buoyant plumes with theoretical/empirical models, *Atmos. Environ.*, **24**, pp. 441-457, 1974.
- [18] Strom, G.H., Transport and diffusion of stack effluents. *Air Pollution -- Vol. I* (A.C. Stern, editor), Academic Press, New York, USA, 1976.

### 1. Introduction

Predictions of airflow and dispersion over urban areas continue to be a challenging problem because of the presence of extremely heterogeneous surface features within typical centers of population. For the case where atmospheric conditions are highly stable (low Froude number flow), the local wind tends to flow around structures rather than over them. Thus pollutants that are released at or near the ground tend to persist at relatively low levels and have the potential of inducing negative health effects on the population. We are developing numerical models to simulate the flow and dispersion of releases around multi-building complexes. These models will be used to assess the transport and fate of releases of hazardous agents within urban areas and to support emergency response activities.

There are already a number of models that have been developed to simulate flow and dispersion around urban settings. A recent collection of these papers can be found in the Proceedings of the 2<sup>nd</sup> International Symposium of Computational